arXiv:nlin/0405057v1 [nlin.AO] 25 May 2004

A mathematical description of natural shapes in our nonlinear world

Ricardo Chacón
Departamento de Electrónica e Ingeniería Electromecánica,
Escuela de Ingenierías Industriales, Universidad de Extremadura,
Apartado Postal 382, E-06071 Badajoz, Spain

February 8, 2008

Abstract

Concerning the problem of shape and pattern description, a compact formula (Gielis' formula$^{1-3}$) has recently been proposed that generates a vast diversity of natural shapes. However, this formula is a modified version of the equation for the circle, so that it is expressed in terms of trigonometric functions which are inherent to *linear* phenomena but rarely appear in the description of nonlinear phenomena. In consequence, it generates highly idealized (Platonic) forms rather than real-world forms. Since natural shapes and patterns generally appear as a result of nonlinear dynamical processes$^{4-7}$, it would be natural that formulas which aim to describe them should be expressed in terms of related nonlinear functions. Here, two examples of simple mathematical formulas which are natural nonlinear modifications (one being a generalization) of Gielis' formula are discussed. These formulas involve a comparable number of parameters and provide non-Platonic representations of a vast diversity of natural shapes and patterns by incorporating diverse aspects of asymmetry and seeming disorder which are absent in the original Gielis' formula. It is also shown how diverse sequences resembling some natural-world pattern evolutions are also generated by such nonlinear formulas.—

Although nonlinearity is an ubiquitous feature of real-world phenomena, some aspects of this fundamental property remain surprisingly poorly explored, partly because they are rarely incorporated into the mathematical tools and models which aim to describe even the simplest of such phenomena. In the context of the description of natural forms, such as biological shapes, a recent example is provided by Gielis' formula^{1}:

$$r(\theta)=\frac{1}{\sqrt[n_1]{\left|\frac{\cos(c\theta/4)}{a}\right|^{n_2}+\left|\frac{\sin(c\theta/4)}{b}\right|^{n_3}}}, \qquad (1)$$

where (r,θ) and (a,b,c,n_1,n_2,n_3) are polar coordinates and parameters, respectively. While formula (1) generates a certain variety of abstract symmetric shapes, which in some cases are reminiscent of natural forms, it is clear that its *linear* origin prevents it encompassing most natural forms, these being often characterized by diverse types of *asymmetry* and different degree of apparent *disorder*. Clearly, this is because Gielis' formula essentially describes modified circles, and hence the use of harmonic functions in its formulation. Perhaps the simplest nonlinear generalizations of formula (1) would be based on the substitution of the trigonometric functions by Jacobian elliptic functions^{8} (JEF's). This choice is quite natural since the solutions of the most ubiquitous nonlinear integrable oscillators^{9} (such as the pendulum, the Duffing, or the Helmholtz) are naturally expressed in terms of JEF's. This is also the case for the most universal nonlinear integrable partial differential equations,^{10,11} such as the sine-Gordon, the

Korteweg-de Vries, the nonlinear Schrödinger, or the nonlinear heat equation.

Here the following two nonlinear (elliptic) modifications of Gielis' formula are proposed:

$$r_{I,II}\left(\theta\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt[n_1]{\left|\frac{\cos[\Phi_{I,II}(\theta)]}{a}\right|^{n_2} + \left|\frac{\sin[\Theta_{I,II}(\theta)]}{b}\right|^{n_3}}}, \quad (2)$$

$$\Phi_I\left(\theta\right) \equiv \mathrm{am}\left[\frac{K(m)}{2\pi}\left(c\theta+\varphi\right); m\right], \quad (3)$$

$$\Theta_I\left(\theta\right) \equiv \mathrm{am}\left[\frac{K(m)}{2\pi}\left(c\theta+\varphi'\right); m\right], \quad (4)$$

$$\Phi_{II}\left(\theta\right) \equiv \mathrm{am}\left[\frac{1}{2\pi}K\left(\frac{\lambda\theta}{2\pi}\right)\left(c\theta+\varphi\right); \frac{\theta}{2\pi}\right], \quad (5)$$

$$\Theta_{II}\left(\theta\right) \equiv \mathrm{am}\left[\frac{1}{2\pi}K\left(\frac{\lambda'\theta}{2\pi}\right)\left(c\theta+\varphi'\right); \frac{\theta}{2\pi}\right], \quad (6)$$

where $\mathrm{am}\,(u;m)$ is the JEF of parameter m, $K(m)$ is the complete elliptic integral of the first kind, and $\left(\varphi,\varphi',\lambda,\lambda'\right)$ are additional parameters. Note that $r_I(\theta)$ reduces to $r(\theta)$ for $m=\varphi=\varphi'=0$, while $r_{II}\left(\theta\right)$ only coincides with $r\left(\theta\right)$ at $\theta=0$ for $\lambda=\lambda'=\varphi=\varphi'=0$. An initial shape is generated over the θ interval $[0,2\pi]$. The dependence of the elliptic parameter on the angle coordinate in $r_{II}(\theta)$ is the key feature providing diverse variations of a given initial shape. The rate and nature of such variations on an initial theme (pattern) can be controlled by changing the parameters. Thus, one can obtain sequences that mimic transformations of biological shapes, including growth processes, and evolutions of vortex boundaries in fluids[12], *inter al.* The top row of Fig. 1 shows an illustrative example of this property for the case of a schematic (daisy-like) flower whose initial ellipse-like petals transform into triangle-like ones. It is worth mentioning that even such simple representations of flowers cannot be generated by formula (1). To achieve that goal, $r(\theta)$ would have to be multiplied by an additional harmonic function[1]. The sequence was generated using the type II formula at the parameter values $\left(a,b,c,n_1,n_2,n_3,\varphi,\varphi',\lambda,\lambda'\right) = (2,173,12,-2,2,2,0,\pi,1,1)$ over the θ intervals $[0,2\pi]$, $[3\pi,5\pi]$, $[20\pi,22\pi]$, and $[9220\pi,9222\pi]$, respectively. Another important feature of real-world shapes that is incorporated by the above nonlinear formulas is a certain disordered-looking impression, such as that in a rose after a spring shower. This feature is illustrated in the second row of Fig. 1, where different versions of a rose-like flower are depicted. The first is generated using Gielis' formula at the parameter values $(a,b,c,n_1,n_2,n_3) = (2,5,1.61803,5,5,5)$, and would represent an idealized symmetric rose. This contrasts with the second and third versions, which were generated using the type II formula at the additional parameters $\left(\varphi,\varphi',\lambda,\lambda'\right) = (0,\pi,1,1)$ and $(0,0,0.995,0.995)$, respectively, and with the fourth version which was generated using the type I formula at the additional parameter values $(\varphi,\varphi',m) = (0,\pi,0.999)$. The third row of Fig. 1 shows a sequence of starfish-like patterns to illustrate the flexibility of the above nonlinear formulas in reproducing different aspects of asymmetry. Gielis' formula generated the perfectly symmetric first version at the parameter values $(a,b,c,n_1,n_2,n_3) = (2,5,10,3,3,3)$. The type II formula generated the second and third versions at the parameter values $(a,b,c,n_1,n_2,n_3,\varphi,\varphi',\lambda,\lambda') = (2,5,10,3,3,3,0,\pi,1,1)$ and $(2,\ 10,\ 10,\ -2,\ 2,\ 2,\ 0,\ \pi,\ 0.91,\ 1)$, respectively, and the type I formula generated the fourth version at the parameter values $(a,b,c,n_1,n_2,n_3,\varphi,\varphi',m) = \left(2,10,10,-2,2,2,0,\pi,1-10^{-14}\right)$. Formulas types I and II are examples of an elliptic class that should certainly be useful in graphics and modeling where several basic shapes may combine to yield more complex pictures. Figure 2 shows a flower obtained by superposition of two type I shapes generated at parameter values $(a,b,c,n_1,n_2,n_3,\varphi,\varphi',m) = (2,3,10,3,3,3,0,\pi,0.95)$ and $(2,17,10,3,3,3,0,\pi,0.999)$.

In conclusion, since the price of the strikingly broad and complex diversity of natural shapes is the inherent nonlinear character of the processes giving rise to them, one should expect that even the most elemental formulas aimed at describing them should be expressed in terms of nonlinear mathematical functions closely related to general (i.e., nonlinear) natural processes. The formulas presented here are solely intended as examples of this line of thinking. The challenge now is, as in the case of Gielis' formula, to relate them (or similar formulas) to some basic natural mechanism of self-organization and pattern formation.

References

[1] Gielis, J. A. A generic geometric transformation that unifies a wide range of natural and abstract shapes. *American Journal of Botany.* **90**, 333-338 (2003).

[2] Whitfield, J. Maths gets into shape. *Nature Science Update.* (April 2, 2003).

[3] Peterson, I. A geometric superformula. *Science News.* **163** (18) (May 3, 2003).

[4] Gleick, J. *Chaos - Making a New Science* (Viking, New York, 1987).

[5] Peitgen, H.-O., Jürgens, H. & Saupe, D. *Chaos and Fractals* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992).

[6] Strogatz, S. H. *Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaos* (Addison Wesley, New York, 1994).

[7] Wolfram, S. *A New Kind of Science* (Wolfram Media, Canada, 2002).

[8] See, e.g., Milne-Thomson, L. M., in *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, edited by Abramowitz, M. & Stegun, I. A. (Dover, New York, 1972).

[9] Ince, E. L. *Ordinary Differential Equations* (Dover, New York, 1956).

[10] Scott, A. *Nonlinear Science* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1999).

[11] Dixon, J. M., Tuszyński, J. A. & Clarkson, P. A. *From Nonlinearity to Coherence* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1997).

[12] Friedland, L. & Shagalov, A. G. Resonant formation and control of 2D symmetric vortex waves. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 2941-2944 (2000).

0.0.1 Figure Captions

Figure 1. Shapes generated using nonlinear formulas (2)-(6).

Figure 2. Flower composed of two shapes generated by formula type I.